

Generalized Gorenstein modules

Alina Iacob

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Georgia Southern University

October 2020

- 1 Motivation
- 2 FP_n -injective and FP_n -flat modules
- 3 Gorenstein FP_n -injective modules
- 4 Gorenstein FP_n -projective modules

Definition

We say that a module $G \in \text{Mod}(R)$ is **Gorenstein projective** if there is an exact complex of projective modules

$\mathbf{P} = \dots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} P_{-1} \rightarrow \dots$ such that $G = Z_0(P)$ and such that the complex stays exact when applying a functor $\text{Hom}(-, T)$, where T is any projective module (i.e. the complex $\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_{-1}, T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_0, T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_1, T) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact for any projective module T).

Any projective module P is Gorenstein projective ($0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} P \rightarrow 0$)

Definition

We say that a module $M \in \text{Mod}(R)$ is **Gorenstein injective** if there is an exact complex of injective modules $\mathbf{I} = \dots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_{-1} \rightarrow \dots$ such that $M = Z_0(I)$ and such that the complex stays exact when applying a functor $\text{Hom}(A, -)$, where A is any injective module (i.e. the complex $\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, I_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, I_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, I_{-1}) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact for any injective module A).

A homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow M$ is a *Gorenstein projective precover* of M if G is Gorenstein projective and if for any Gorenstein projective module G' and any $\phi' \in \text{Hom}(G', M)$ there exists $u \in \text{Hom}(G', G)$ such that $\phi' = \phi u$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & G' \\
 & \swarrow \text{dotted } u & \downarrow h \\
 G & \xrightarrow{g} & M
 \end{array}$$

A precover $g : G \rightarrow M$ is said to be a *cover* if any homomorphism $u : G' \rightarrow G$ such that $gu = g$, is an isomorphism.

A Gorenstein projective resolution of a module M is a complex

$$\dots \rightarrow G_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} G_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

such that $G_0 \rightarrow M$ and each $G_i \rightarrow \text{Ker}(G_{i-1} \rightarrow G_{i-2})$ for $i \geq 1$ are Gorenstein projective precovers.

A module M has a Gorenstein injective preenvelope if there exists a homomorphism $l : M \rightarrow F$ with F Gorenstein injective and such that for any Gorenstein injective module F' , any homomorphism $h : M \rightarrow F'$ factors through l ($h = vl$ for some $v \in \text{Hom}(F, F')$).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{l} & F \\
 \downarrow h & \searrow v & \\
 & & F'
 \end{array}$$

A preenvelope l is said to be an envelope if it has one more property: any $v \in \text{Hom}(F, F')$ such that $vl = l$ is an automorphism of F .

Open question: the existence of the Gorenstein projective resolutions.

Generalizations of the Gorenstein modules - the Ding injective and Ding projective modules

- The *Ding projective modules* are the cycles of the exact complexes of projective modules that remain exact when applying a functor

$\text{Hom}(-, F)$, with F any flat module.

- The *Ding injective modules* are the cycles of the exact complexes of injective modules that remain exact when applying a functor

$\text{Hom}(A, -)$, with A any FP-injective module.

Open questions:

- is the class of Ding projectives, \mathcal{DP} , precovering over any ring?

- is the class of Ding injectives, \mathcal{DI} , preenveloping over any ring?

Definition

A module M is n -finitely presented (FP_n for short) if there exists an exact sequence $F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each F_i finitely generated free. A module M is FP_∞ if and only if $M \in FP_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

$FP_0 \supseteq FP_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq FP_n \supseteq FP_{n+1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq FP_\infty$, with FP_0 the class of all finitely generated modules, and FP_1 the finitely presented modules. A module M is FP_n -injective if $Ext_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for all $F \in FP_n$. From the definition, we get the following ascending chain:

$$Inj = \mathcal{FI}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FI}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{FI}_\infty.$$

A module N is FP_n -flat if $Tor_1(F, N) = 0$ for all $F \in FP_n$.

From the definition, we get the following ascending chain:

$$Flat = \mathcal{FF}_0 = \mathcal{FF}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{FF}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{FF}_\infty.$$

Gorenstein FP_n -injective modules.

Definition. We say that a module $M \in Mod(R)$ is Gorenstein FP_n -injective if $M = Z_0(\mathbf{I})$ for some exact complex of injective modules $\mathbf{I} = \dots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_{-1} \rightarrow \dots$ that stays exact when applying a functor $Hom(A, -)$, where A is any FP_n -injective module. \mathcal{GI}_n denotes the class of Gorenstein FP_n -injective modules.

By definition we get an ascending chain:

$$\mathcal{GI}_\infty = \mathcal{GI}_{ac} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{GI}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{GI}_1 = \mathcal{DI} \subseteq \mathcal{GI}_0 = \mathcal{GI}.$$

Dually, A module G is Gorenstein FP_n -projective if it a cycle in an exact complex of projective modules that remains exact when applying a functor $Hom(-, L)$ for any $L \in \mathcal{FF}_n$.

\mathcal{GP}_n denotes the class of Gorenstein FP_n -projective modules.

Main results for Gorenstein FP_n -injectives

Theorem A Let R be any ring. For any $n \geq 1$, $({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n, \mathcal{GI}_n)$ is a hereditary cotorsion pair. In particular, $({}^\perp\mathcal{DI}, \mathcal{DI})$ is a hereditary cotorsion pair.

Theorem B Let R be any ring. For any $n \geq 2$, the class \mathcal{GI}_n is enveloping.

Proposition If R is a coherent ring then \mathcal{DI} is enveloping.

Main result for Gorenstein FP_n -projective modules:

Theorem C: Let R be any ring. For any $n \geq 2$, \mathcal{GP}_n is a precovering class.

A sufficient condition for a class \mathcal{C} be precovering is to be the left half of a complete cotorsion pair.

Recall $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{M, \text{Ext}^1(C, M) = 0, \text{ for all } C \in \mathcal{C}\}$

and ${}^\perp\mathcal{C} = \{L, \text{Ext}^1(L, C) = 0, \text{ for all } C \in \mathcal{C}\}$

- A pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ is a *cotorsion pair* if $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathcal{L}$ and ${}^\perp\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{C}$.

- A cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ is *complete* if for every M there are short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow C \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow L' \rightarrow C' \rightarrow 0$ with $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$ and with $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}$.

A cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ is *hereditary* if $\text{Ext}^i(C, L) = 0$ for any $C \in \mathcal{C}$, any $L \in \mathcal{L}$, all $i \geq 1$.

Examples: $(\text{Proj}, \text{Mod})$, (Mod, Inj) .

Theorem A For any $n \geq 1$, $({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n, \mathcal{GI}_n)$ is a hereditary cotorsion pair.
Known: $({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n, ({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp)$ is a cotorsion pair.

Proposition

Let $M \in ({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp$. Then there is an exact complex
 $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \xrightarrow{f_0} E^1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots$ with each E^j injective and with
 $\text{Ker} f_j \in ({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp$, for all j .

Proposition

Let $M \in ({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp$. Then there is an exact complex
 $\dots \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} E_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$ with each E_j injective, and with
 $\text{Ker}(f_j) \in ({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp$, for each $j \geq 0$.

Lemma

${}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n = {}^\perp\infty \mathcal{GI}_n$, with ${}^\perp\infty \mathcal{GI}_n$ the class of modules A such that
 $\text{Ext}^i(A, G) = 0$ for all $G \in \mathcal{GI}_n$, and all $i \geq 1$.

Proof of Proposition 1.

$$M \in \mathcal{GI} \cap \mathcal{FI}_n^\perp.$$

- exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow 0$ with E^0 injective and $M^0 \in \mathcal{GI}$ (1).

- exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow D \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ with $D \in {}^\perp \mathcal{GI}$, $G \in \mathcal{GI}$ (2).
 $A, D \in {}^\perp \mathcal{GI}$ implies $G \in {}^\perp \mathcal{GI}$.

(2) gives an exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}^1(G, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(A, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(D, M) = 0 \quad (D \in {}^\perp \mathcal{GI}, M \in \mathcal{GI})$$

Thus $\text{Ext}^2(A, M) = 0$.

(1) gives an exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}^1(A, E^0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(A, M^0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(A, M) = 0. \text{ So } M^0 \in ({}^\perp \mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp.$$

Repeat with M replaced by M^0 to obtain an exact

$$0 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ with } E^1 \text{ injective and } M^1 \in ({}^\perp \mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp.$$

Continuing we obtain an exact complex $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \xrightarrow{f_0} E^1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots$
with each E^j injective and with $\text{Ker} f_j \in ({}^\perp \mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp$, for all j .

Theorem A For any $n \geq 1$, $({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n, \mathcal{GI}_n)$ is a hereditary cotorsion pair.

Proof. It is known that $({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n, ({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp)$ is a cotorsion pair.

By Proposition 1 there is an exact complex

$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \xrightarrow{f_0} E^1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots$ with each E^j injective and with

$\text{Ker} f_j \in ({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp$, for all j . By Proposition 2, there is also an exact

complex $\dots \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} E_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} M \rightarrow 0$ with each E_j injective, and with

$\text{Ker}(g_j) \in ({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp$, for each $j \geq 0$. Pasting them together we obtain

an exact complex of injective modules,

$\dots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots$ with all cycles in $({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n)^\perp$. This

means that the complex stays exact when applying a functor

$\text{Hom}(A, -)$ for any $A \in {}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n$. In particular this is true for any

$A \in \mathcal{FI}_n$. So all cycles are in \mathcal{GI}_n

To prove Theorem B:

To prove that $({}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n, \mathcal{GI}_n)$ is complete for $n \geq 2$:

Theorem

Let $n \geq 2$, and let \mathcal{F} denote the class of exact complexes of injective modules that stay exact when applying a functor $\text{Hom}(A, -)$ for any FP_n -injective module A . Then $({}^\perp\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ is a complete cotorsion pair.

Proposition

Let X be a complex with $H_i(X) = 0$ for $i < 0$, and $X_i \in \mathcal{FI}_n$ for $i > 0$. Then $X \in {}^\perp\mathcal{F}$ if and only if $Z_0(X) \in {}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n$.

Proposition

Let R be any ring. For any $n \geq 2$, $({}^\perp \mathcal{GI}_n, \mathcal{GI}_n)$ is a complete cotorsion pair.

Proof. There is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow F \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$. Applying $Z_0 = \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R)}(\overline{R}, -)$ we obtain an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Z_0(F) \rightarrow Z_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\overline{R}, \overline{M}) = 0$, with $Z_0(F) \in \mathcal{GI}_n$ and $Z_0(X) \in {}^\perp \mathcal{GI}_n$.

Theorem B Let R be any ring. For any $n \geq 2$, the class \mathcal{GI}_n is enveloping.

Proposition

The following are equivalent:

- 1. The class of Gorenstein \mathcal{FI}_n -injective modules is enveloping.*
- 2. The class ${}^\perp\mathcal{GI}_n$ is covering.*

Proposition If R is a coherent ring then \mathcal{DI} is an enveloping class.

Gorenstein FP_n -projective modules

Definition

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. A module G is Gorenstein FP_n -projective if it is a cycle in an exact complex of projective modules that remains exact when applying a functor $Hom(-, L)$ for any $L \in \mathcal{FF}_n$.

We use \mathcal{GP}_n to denote the class of Gorenstein \mathcal{FP}_n -projective modules.

- Since $\mathcal{FF}_1 = Flat$, so $\mathcal{GP}_0 = \mathcal{DP}$ (the Ding projective modules).
- And $\mathcal{FF}_\infty = Level$, so $\mathcal{GP}_\infty = \mathcal{GP}_{ac}$ (the Gorenstein AC-projective modules).

By definition we have an ascending chain

$$\mathcal{GP}_\infty = \mathcal{GP}_{ac} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_1 = \mathcal{DP} \subseteq \mathcal{GP}.$$

Known: for $n \geq 2$, $M \in \mathcal{FF}_n \Leftrightarrow M^+ \in \mathcal{FI}_n$
and $C \in \mathcal{FI}_n \Leftrightarrow C^+ \in \mathcal{FF}_n$.

So, for $n \geq 2$, $(\mathcal{FI}_n, \mathcal{FF}_n)$ is a duality pair in the sense of Bravo - Gillespie - Hovey.

Theorem

(Bravo - Gillespie - Hovey) Let R be a ring and suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is a duality pair such that \mathcal{D} is closed under pure quotients. Let P be a complex of projective modules. Then $A \otimes P$ is exact for all $A \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\text{Hom}(P, N)$ is exact for all $N \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proposition

A module M is Gorenstein FP_n -projective if and only if there is an exact complex of projective modules $P = \dots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} P_{-1} \rightarrow \dots$ such that $M = Z_0(P)$ and such that $A \otimes P$ is exact for all $A \in \mathcal{FI}_n$.

Definition

Let \mathcal{B} be a class of right R -modules. We say that a module M is projectively coresolved Gorenstein \mathcal{B} -flat if $M = Z_0(P)$ for some $B \otimes$ --acyclic and exact complex P of projective modules.

- $\mathcal{PGF}_{\mathcal{B}}$ denotes the class of projectively coresolved Gorenstein \mathcal{B} -flat modules.

Theorem

(joint with Estrada and Perez) If \mathcal{B} is a semi-definable class of right R -modules then $(\mathcal{PGF}_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{PGF}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\perp})$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion pair. In particular, the class $\mathcal{PGF}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is precovering.

Since for any $n > 1$ the class of \mathcal{FP}_n -injective modules, \mathcal{FI}_n , is definable (so semi-definable also), and since $\mathcal{GP}_n = \mathcal{PGF}_{\mathcal{FI}_n}$, we obtain:

Theorem

(Theorem C) Let $n > 1$. The class of generalized Gorenstein projectives, \mathcal{GP}_n , is precovering.

References:

1. D. Bravo and J. Gillespie and M. Hovey: *The stable module category of a general ring*, **International Electronic Journal of Algebra**, 18:1–20, 2015.
2. D. Bravo, S. Estrada, A. Iacob. *FP_n -injective and FP_n -flat covers and preenvelopes and Gorenstein AC-flat covers*, **Alg. Colloquium**, vol 25, issue 2, pages 319 - 334, 2018.
3. S. Estrada, A. Iacob, M. Perez. *Model structures and relative Gorenstein flat modules and chain complexes*, chapter in **Contemporary Mathematics**, Volume 751, Print ISBN 978-1-4704-4368-9, Electronic ISBN: 978-1-4704-5608-5, pages 135 - 176.
4. A. Iacob: *Generalized Gorenstein modules*, submitted.